

#### PATENT

THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANTS:

FILZMOSER ET AL - 1 PCT

Group:1652

PCT NO.:

PCT/AT2003/000196

FILED:

JULY 10, 2003

SERIAL NO.:

10/521,215

FILED:

JANUARY 13, 2005

TITLE:

A METHOD AND A DEVICE FOR PRODUCING A STAMP

### MAIL STOP AMENDMENT

COLLARD & ROE, P.C.

Roslyn, New York

(516) 365-9802

Commissioner of Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Enclosed please find a copy of the International Preliminary Examination Report.

> Respectfully submitted, Franz FILZMOSER ET AL.

1077 Northern Boulevard

Kurt Kelman, Reg. No. 18,628

Allison C. Collard, Reg. No. 22,532 Edward R. Freedman, Reg. No. 26,048

Attorneys for Applicants

KK:ke

Enclosure: International Preliminary Examination Report

11576

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: MAIL STOP AMENDMENT: Commissioner of Patents, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on August 23, 2005.

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



# **PCT**

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference R 41390	FOR FURTHER ACTION		cation of Transmittal of International Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)			
International application No. PCT/AT2003/000196	International filing date (day/n 10 July 2003 (10.07	•	Priority date (day/month/year)  22 July 2002 (22.07.2002)			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or n B41K 1/02, B41C 1/00	national classification and IPC	<u> </u>				
Applicant COLOP STEMPELER2	ZEUGUNG SKOPEK GE	SELLSCHA	AFT M.B.H. & CO. KG.			
This international preliminary exam and is transmitted to the applicant a	nination report has been prepared according to Article 36.	d by this Intern	national Preliminary Examining Authority			
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	5 sheets, include	ing this cover s	sheet.			
amended and are the basis for	nied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of or this report and/or sheets contain e Administrative Instructions un	aining rectifica	on, claims and/or drawings which have been ations made before this Authority (see Rule			
These annexes consist of a to	otal of sheets.					
3. This report contains indications rela	ating to the following items:					
Basis of the report						
II Priority						
[]] Non-establishment	of opinion with regard to novel	ty, inventive st	tep and industrial applicability			
IV Lack of unity of in	vention					
V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
VI Certain documents cited						
VII Certain defects in t	VII Certain defects in the international application					
VIII Certain observation	ns on the international application	on				
Date of submission of the demand	Date	of completion	of this report			
.17 February 2004 (17.0	02.2004)	08 D	December 2004 (08.12.2004)			
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EF	Auth	orized officer				
Facsimile No.	Tele	phone No.				

Translation

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/AT 03/00196

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability citations and explanations supporting such statement				
Statement				
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-20	YES	
	Claims		NO	
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-14	YES	
	Claims	15-20	NO	
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-20	YES	
	Claims		NO	

### Citations and explanations

1. US-A-5731033, which is cited in the description, discloses a method for producing a stamp or a printing plate with a surface containing the printing pattern, said surface being produced by applying a liquid, hardenable material to a base in layers.

The subject matter of claim 1 differs therefrom in that the liquid, hardenable material is applied in layers during the printing process.

Therefore, the subject matter of claim 1 is novel within the meaning of PCT Article 33(2).

The purpose of the features of claim 1 is to provide rapid and cost-effective production of stamps and printing plates, even for short production runs.

The searched documents do not, either alone or in combination, render the subject matter with the features of claim 1 obvious for the indicated purpose.

Consequently, claim 1 involves an inventive step

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

and, along with the advantageous developments of dependent claims 2-14, meets the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) to (4).

The present application does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(1), because the subject matter of claims 15-20 does not involve an inventive step within the meaning of PCT Article 33(3).

EP-A-0 426 363 (D1) discloses (cf. the references in the search report) a device for producing three-dimensional objects by means of a printing device (1) with a print head (2) with at least one nozzle (4) for layered printing, with a resolution of up to 0.25 mm (cf. column 4, lines 26-36), on a surface (108) that can be moved relative to the print head (2), a dosing device being provided for dosing the quantity of material discharged (cf. claim 1, "means for metering the discharge").

Consequently, the device disclosed in D1 is suitable for and readily able to produce stamps or printing plates according to claim 15.

Therefore, the subject matter of independent claim 15 differs from D1 only in that the substrate, rather than the print head, is movable.

This feature does not lead to any inventive input, since the movement of a print head or a substrate is functionally equivalent and can be selected by a person skilled in the art as needed (cf. DE-A-19931112; column 4, line 67 to column 5, line 2).

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/AT 03/00196

Therefore, the subject matter of independent claim 15 does not involve an inventive step.

The same applies to dependent claims 16-20.

D1 also discloses a heating device (84) in the print head, a readjustable cooling device (150), and a connecting point for connecting the printing device to an arithmetic unit (cf. figure 1), which directly renders claims 16, 18 and 20 obvious.

Claims 17 and 19 also fail to go beyond that which a person skilled in the art considers to be standard practice.

3. The expression "or the like" used in the description in conjunction with the stamp and printing plate leads to a lack of clarity in the scope of protection sought and therefore does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 6.